

The Simulation Studies of Potential Influence of Future Long-term **Climate Change on Super Typhoon Precipitation Cases** in Western North Pacific





Introduction

- Many researches indicate TC rainfall increases under global warming. According to Tsou et al. (2016), rainfall rate in 200 km radii of TC circulation increases 22% under RCP 8.5 scenario in HiRAM model. Knutson et al. (2020) also indicates the precipitation rate of TCs would increase $\sim 14\%$.
- Wang et al. (2015) used high-resolution Cloud-Resolving Storm Simulator (CReSS) on modeling Typhoon Sinlaku (2008), and found that TC precipitation under current scenario may increase in comparison to the environment of few decades ago.
- In particular to more hazardous TCs, Thean (2021) has made attribution of C4/C5 TC intensity changes in warming scenarios. However, the details of precipitation changes in these strong TC cases need more clarification and analysis. Thus, by high-resolution modeling, we want to find out how rainfall structure changes and how much precipitation would occur if these super typhoons form in warming future.

Data & Method	Result: Changes of TC Environment							
Super typhoon cases for analysis	Radial-mean vector wind (e.g. Haiyan 2013)							
1. MEGI (2010) 2. HAIYAN (2013) 3. MERANTI (2016)	CTRL Haiyan radial-mean wind (full time) $RCP4.5-CTRL Haiyan radial-mean wind difference (full time)$ $RCP4.5-CTRL Haiyan radial-mean wind difference (full time)$ $RCP4.5-CTRL Haiyan radial-mean wind difference (full time)$							
■ Grid data	$20 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$							
1.NCEP GFS 0.5° 2.NCEP FNL 0.25° 3.HYCOM+NCODA 0.08° 4.CMIP5 2° climate data								

Method

- 1. 2.5-km high resolution downscaling model: Cloud-Resolving Storm Simulator (CReSS) v3.4.3
- 2. Experiment: Control Run (CTRL) & Pseudo Global Warming (PGW) Run (RCP 4.5/8.5)

	Megi (2010)	Haiyan (2013)	Meranti (2016)					
Horizontal resolution		2.5 km (0.025°)						
I/B condition	NCEP GFS 0.5°	NCEP GFS 0.5°	NCEP FNL 0.25°					
SST data	HYCOM GLBu 19.1	HYCOM GLBv 53.X	HYCOM GLBv 57.2					
Domain	3.70065~33.5074°N;	2.11391~22.306°N;	6.50141~39.6123°N;					
Domani	112.237~149.989°E	109.791~150.305°E	110.203~150.607°E					
Initial time	2010/10/15 00 UTC	2013/11/6 00 UTC	2016/9/10 12 UTC					
Simulating length	4 days (96 h)	3 days (72 h)	4 days (96 h)					
Cloud microphysics	Bulk cold rain microphysics							



▲ Schematic diagram of simulation process in this study.



- A Process of producing future climate difference (Δ) 1) CMIP5 data 2) TC season (Jun.~ Oct.) monthly composite 3) Present cli.: 1981-2000, 38 members ensemble mean 4) Future cli.: 2081-2100, 35(38) members ensemble mean in RCP 4.5 (RCP 8.5)
- 5) Δ = future present climatology

3. Water budget equation (Trenberth and Guillemot, 1995)



Each term in water budget equation:
1 Absolute humidity change
2 Precipitation
③ Density flux divergence (without "-" sign!)
4 Surface evaporation
(5) Residual
6 Density divergence
⑦ Density advection

Result: Changes of Precipitation

Full time averaged PRCP horizontal pattern

200/400 km quantitation



- . 0~100 km vertical updraft/downdraft motion (shading area) difference is more significant under RCP 4.5/8.5, bringing about stronger convection in inner core. (Other cases are not shown but similar)
- 2. Tangential wind (contour) and low-level inflow (vector) do not change much in the future; however, the higher troposphere outflow significantly ascent to higher level (red dashed line), indicating the strengthening of convection.

Air temperature (T_{air}) & potential temperature (θ) (e.g. Megi 2010)



Result: Water Budget Analysis



Radial mea	n pattern
------------	-----------



Radius (km)

Μ	EGI 2010 precipita	ation
Radii	0~200 km	0~400 km
CTRL	20.583 mm	8.701 mm
RCP45	22.846 mm	9.227 mm
KCI 4.3	(+11.00%)	(+6.04%)
PCP85	24.698 mm	9.819 mm
KCF 8.3	(+19.99%)	(+12.85%)
TTA		••
HA	IYAN 2013 precip	itation
Radius	0~200 km	0~400 km
Radius CTRL	0~200 km 26.185 mm	0~400 km 11.043 mm
Radius CTRL	0~200 km 26.185 mm 28.914 mm	0~400 km 11.043 mm 12.461 mm
Radius CTRL RCP 4.5	0~200 km 26.185 mm 28.914 mm (+10.42%)	0~400 km 11.043 mm 12.461 mm (+12.85%)
Radius CTRL RCP 4.5	0~200 km 26.185 mm 28.914 mm (+10.42%) 31.274 mm	0~400 km 11.043 mm 12.461 mm (+12.85%) 14.312 mm

MERANTI 2016 precipitation								
Radius	0~200 km	0~400 km						
CTRL	20.143 mm	8.727 mm						
RCP45	21.334 mm	9.131 mm						
KCI 4.5	(+5.92%)	(+4.63%)						
RCP85	24.087 mm	9.277 mm						
	(+19.58%)	(+6.30%)						

- More rainfall under RCP 4.5/ RCP 8.5 scenario than CTRL. especially in inner core (red arrow in left figures).
- 2. PRCP quantitation under global warming brings more rain in each case. RCP 8.5 has more increase than RCP 4.5 (upper tables).
- Student's T-test for variation significance

Megi (2010) (Sample=32)				Radius	(km)				Confidence	One-tai t _α
RCP 4.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	99.9%	3.375
Mean	3.623	4.759	4.243	2.319	1.405	0.875	0.660	0.529	99.5%	2.744
SD	9.647	8.155	4.928	2.530	1.272	0.971	0.867	0.623	99%	2.453
t-value	2.124	3.301	4.871	5.183	6.249	5.100	4.306	4.805	95%	1.696
RCP 8.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	90%	1.309
Mean	6.113	7.673	6.222	4.170	2.965	2.112	1.503	1.126	Note:	
SD	11.678	8.293	5.165	3.064	1.989	1.411	1.142	0.942	Shading on	T-value
t-value	2.961	5.234	6.814	7.700	8.432	8.464	7.443	6.761	= Confiden	ce level
Haiyan (2013) (Sample=24)				Radius	s (km)				Confidence	One-ta t _α
RCP 4.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	99.9%	3.485
Mean	-1.291	3.471	3.386	2.774	1.549	1.191	1.252	1.415	99.5%	2.807
SD	10.063	7.042	4.731	3.161	2.461	1.801	1.338	1.206	99%	2.500
t-value	-0.629	2.414	3.505	4.298	3.084	3.240	4.586	5.746	95%	1.714
RCP 8.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	90%	1.320
Mean	-3.036	4.211	5.664	5.105	4.361	3.752	3.415	3.279		
SD	10.877	8.769	7.704	4.849	3.476	2.601	2.048	1.637		
t-value	-1.368	2.352	3.602	5.158	6.146	7.067	8.169	9.810		
Meranti (2016) (Sample=32)				Radius	s (km)				Confidence	One-ta t _α
RCP 4.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	99.9%	3.375
Mean	2.103	2.308	1.962	1.205	0.950	0.467	0.496	0.411	99.5%	2.744
SD	10.636	4.815	2.763	1.725	1.393	1.196	0.987	0.900	99%	2.453
t-value	1.119	2.711	4.018	3.951	3.859	2.207	2.842	2.585	95%	1.696
RCP 8.5-CTRL	0~50	0~100	0~150	0~200	0~250	0~300	0~350	0~400	90%	1.309
Mean	-1.329	3.154	5.513	4.006	2.849	2.075	1.272	0.580		
SD	12.266	6.867	4.592	3.908	2.957	2.219	1.824	1.390		
t-value	-0.613	2.598	6.791	5.799	5.450	5.290	3 9 4 5	2 359		

Water budget quantitation

Considering the accuracy and uncertainty of simulations, time range and radius of water budget calculation are adjusted in each case based on the least residual.

Megi 0~350 km 3hr water budget (time average: T=6-90 h)							Haiyan 0~250 km 3hr water budget (time average: T=24-72 h))
	Equation	LHS: Sink		Equation	RHS: Source		Equation LHS: Sink			Equation RHS: Source			
Term				Flux div	vergence		Term				Flux div	vergence	
$(kg/m^2 \cdot 3h)$	Precipitation	Abs. numiaity	Evaporation	Density	Density	Residual	$(\text{kg/m}^2 \cdot 3\text{h})$	Precipitation	ADS. NUMIDITY	Evaporation	Density	Density	Residual
		enange		divergence	advection				enange		divergence	advection	
CTDI	10.70	0.21	1 /1	-9.4	035	0.10	СТРІ	10.16	0.15	1 00	-15	5.94	1 57
CIKL	10.79	0.21	1.41	-12.23	2.83	0.19	CIKL	19.10	0.15	1.00	-19.28	3.34	1.57
PCD 4 5	11 49	0.25	1.46	-10.	-10.3120			21.49	0.10	1.94	-17.13		2 70
KCP 4.3	11.40	0.25	1.40	-13.23	2.92	-0.04	KCF 4.3	21.40	0.19	1.04	-20.60	3.47	2.70
D CD 0 5	10.45	0.20	1.74	-11.	2489	0.03		22.75	0.00	2.02	-19	.45	2 57
RCP 8.5	12.47	0.29	1.54	-14.70	3.45	-0.02	RCP 8.5	23.75	0.29	2.03	-23.51	4.07	2.57
		Differenc	e and grow	ring rate (%)			Difference and growing rate (%)						
PCP45	0.69	0.03	0.05	-0.91 (+9.7%)		PCD 4 5	2.32	0.04	0.05	-1.18 (-	+7.4%)	
CTRI				-1.00	0.10	-0.23	CTPI				-1.32	0.13	1.12
	(+6.4%)	(+15.7%)	(+3.2%)	(+8.2%)	(+3.4%)		CIKL	(+12.1%)	(+24.2%)	(+2.6%)	(+6.8%)	(+4%)	
RCP85	1.68	0.08	0.12	-1.85 (+	-19.6%)		RCP85	4.59	0.14	0.23	-3.50 (+22%)	
CTRI		(125 50/)	(10.70/)	-2.47	0.63	-0.21	CTRI			(112.00/)	-4.23	0.73	0.99
CINL	(+15.0%)	[+33.5%]	$(\pm 0, 70)$				CINL	(+23.9%)	(+94%)	1+12.0%	(+770/)		

	Meranti <mark>0~.</mark>	<mark>300 km</mark> 3hr v	water budge	et (time avera	lge: T= <mark>6-90 h</mark>)	
	Equation 1	LHS: Sink					
Term				Flux div	rgence		
$(kg/m^2 \cdot 3h)$	Precipitation	Abs. humidity change	Evaporation	Density	Density Density		
CTDI	12.26	0.12	1.52	-11	.27	0 70	
CIRL	13.30	0.15	1.52	-14.06	2.79	0.70	
DCD 45	14.02	0.15	1 50	-12	.65	0.06	
KCP 4.5	14.03	0.15	1.59	-15.69	3.04	-0.00	
	1 . 10	a a 4		-15	5.72		
RCP 8.5	15.49	0.24	1.56	-19.80	4.07	-1.55	
		Differenc	e and grow	ing rate (%)			
	0.67	0.02	0.07	-1.38 (+	-12.3%)		
CTRI		(111.00)	(14.20/)	-1.64	0.25	-0.76	
CIKL	(+5.0%)	(+11.0%)	(+4.3%)	(+11.6%)	(+9.1%)		
PCP85	2.13	0.11	0.04	-4.45 (+	-39.5%)		
CTPI				-5.74	1.28	-2.25	
UIKL	(+15.9%)	(+80%)	(+2.2%)	$(\pm 40.80\%)$	$(\pm 46.0\%)$		

1. Main source of water budget: density convergence (negative pattern of divergence)

- 2. All terms in water budget significantly enhance under warming scenarios, indicating the water transport would be larger, and the rainfall would be more extreme.
- 3. (Not shown) For hydrometers, water vapor (qv) dominates density divergence and absolute humidity change, and precipitable hydrometers (qp, including rain, snow and graupel) dominate the density advection term.

■ 0~5.5 km precipitable water (PW) & integrated horizontal convergence (IHC)



- 1. 3-h rainfall peak under warming scenario is more severe (except for Meranti); RCP 8.5 is more significant (red circle
- 2. It seems that the peak in future scenarios is more away from TC center (red circle area).
- 3. T-test (Null hypothesis H_o: 3-h PRCP has no increase under warming) shows 3-h precipitation in these studying cases has significant increase with high confidence (>95%).

Inner core ($0 \sim 50$ km) couldn't pass the t-test probably due to some factors: 3-h TC tracking error, dry area in TC eye, or some simulative uncertainties, etc..

RCP 4.5 increases by	PRCP	+14.22 %	+11 %	+6.17 %	PRCP	+7.83 %	+7.4 %	+12.85 %	PRCP	+6.51 %	+5.92 %	+3.64 %
12~13%; RCP 8.5 increases	PW	+12.99 %	+12.94 %	+12.76 %	PW	+12.97 %	+13.25 %	+13 %	PW	+13.7 %	+13.37 %	+12.96 %
by 24~26% (red square)	IHC	+8.8 %	+2.52 %	-3.04 %	IHC	-0.76 %	-4.98 %	+8.22 %	IHC	+2.67 %	+2.93 %	-0.16 %
2. Increasing/decreasing IHC	PRCP	+22.63 %	+19.99 %	+13.9 %	PRCP	+9.66 %	+21.16 %	+29.6 %	PRCP	+9.44 %	+19.58 %	+15.66 %
can enhance/weaken the	PW	+23.9 %	+24.02 %	+23.83%	PW	+24.95 %	+24.97 %	+24.47 %	PW	+26.77 %	+25.96 %	+25.76 %
convection.	IHC	+16.83 %	+5.24 %	-1.5 %	IHC	-1.78 %	+0.73 %	+9.38 %	IHC	+1.34 %	+19.18 %	+8.4 %
 by 24~26% (red square) 2. Increasing/decreasing IHC can enhance/weaken the convection. 	IHC PRCP PW IHC	+8.8 % +22.63 % +23.9 % +16.83 %	+2.52 % +19.99 % +24.02 % +5.24 %	-3.04 % +13.9 % +23.83% -1.5 %	IHC PRCP PW IHC	-0.76 % +9.66 % +24.95 % -1.78 %	-4.98 % +21.16 % +24.97 % +0.73 %	+8.22 % +29.6 % +24.47 % +9.38 %	IHC PRCP PW IHC	+2.67 % +9.44 % +26.77 % +1.34 %	+2.93 % +19.58 % +25.96 % +19.18 %	-0.16 9 +15.66 +25.76 +8.4 9

- 3. Positive IHC under global warming would bring more significant increase of super typhoon rainfall; negative IHC would weaken the increase, but the enhancement of PW sustains positive growing of PRCP.
- :. For the future increase of strong TC precipitation, PW can be seen as essential and sufficient condition; IHC can be seen as sufficient condition.

Summary

- 1. By simulation, super typhoon precipitation would increase in future, especially in the eyewall or inner core. The rainfall peaks under warming scenarios are more away from the center of typhoon, implying the possibility of bigger growth of TC eye.
- 2. Temperature of troposphere increases, and upper troposphere has more enhancement. Also, PW would increase under global warming. Thus, strong TC may obtain more advantageous thermal condition for moisture convection under warming scenarios, in spite of the stability of developing environment would increase.
- **3**. Water budget shows all terms enhance in warming climate, so more precipitation is expectable, and the dominant effect that brings about precipitation increase is density convergence.
- 4. By diagnosing PW and IHC, we find out the interaction between both factors are different in different scenarios and different cases. Nevertheless, either PW or IHC would be the sufficient condition of future super typhoon precipitation enhancement

Chen, C.-Y., 2019: A Study on the Impacts of Future Long-Term Climate Change on the Rainfall of Northward-moving Typhoon cases in Taiwan. M.S. thesis, Department of Earth Sciences, National Taiwan Normal University, 185 pp., https://doi.org/10.6345/NTNU201900872 Hsieh, M.-R., 2023: The Simulation Studies of Potential Influence of Future Long-term Climate Change on Super Typhoon Precipitation Cases in Western North Pacific. M.S. thesis, Department of Earth Sciences, National Taiwan Normal University, 156 pp., http://doi.org/10.6345/NTNU202300625 Thean, Y.-T., 2021: A modelling study of possible impacts of Future Climate Change on Strong Typhoons in the Western North Pacific. M.S. thesis, Department of Earth Sciences, National Taiwan Normal University, 179 pp., https://doi.org/10.6345/NTNU202101727 Trenberth, K. E., & Guillemot, C. J. (1995). Evaluation of the Global Atmospheric Moisture Budget as Seen from Analyses, Journal of Climate, 8(9), 2255-2272. Retrieved Nov 6, 2022, from https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1995)008<2255:EOTGAM>2.0.CO;2 Tsou, C. H., P. Y. Huang, C. Y. Tu, C. T. Chen, T. P. Tzeng, and C. T. Cheng, 2016: Present simulation and future typhoon activity projection over western North Pacific and Taiwan/East Coast of China in 20-km HiRAM climate model. Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci., 27, 687-703, https://doi.org/10.3319/TAO.2016.06.13.04

Wang, C., Lin, B., Chen, C., & Lo, S. (2015). Quantifying the Effects of Long-Term Climate Change on Tropical Cyclone Rainfall Using a Cloud-Resolving Model: Examples of Two Landfall Typhoons in Taiwan, Journal of Climate, 28(1), 66-85. Retrieved Oct 7, 2022, from https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00044.1

